WORCESTERSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL EQUALITY IMPACT RELEVANCE SCREENING

This exercise is not an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA). It is a desktop screening exercise designed to establish if you need to carry out an EIA. When completing the screening please use plain English avoiding the use of acronyms or jargon. Any documents referred to should be attached to this screening form.

Remember, throughout this exercise the term 'policy' (or 'policies') is used as shorthand for 'policies, practices, activities, strategies, plans, projects, procedures, functions and protocols'. It therefore needs to be interpreted broadly to embrace the full range of functions, activities, plans and decisions for which the County Council is responsible.

For help completing this screening please refer to the County Council's <u>EIA Guidance document</u> available on SID.

| 1.1 | Directorate and Section/Unit: | Children's Services, Provision Planning and Admissions |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.2 | Title of the policy being screened: | Cabinet Report 5 February 2015 Increasing the Number of School Places Available in Malvern |
| 1.3 | Screening by: | Sarah Smith Senior Provision Planning Officer |
| 1.4 | Date of screening: | 30 December 2014 |
| 1.5 | Summary of policy objectives | Ensure sufficient school places |
| 1.6 | Related policies/functions: | None |
| 1.7 | To which section of the Directorate or Corporate "business/service plan" does this policy relate? | Directorate Improvement Plan 14/15 Outcome – more children and young people achieve their full potential in education |
| 1.8 | Is this a new or existing policy? | Existing |

Part One: basic information needed to identify the policy and prepare for screening

| 1.9 | Does the policy affect service users, employees, the wider community, or a combination of these? | Service users and the wider community |
|------|--|---|
| 1.10 | Who is formally responsible for the delivery of this policy? If different, who is responsible for leading on the delivery? | Provision Planning and Admissions Group |
| 1.11 | What (if any) previous consultation has been carried out for this policy? Who was consulted and when? | Consultation with headteachers and governors in autumn 2014 Public consultation in November 2014 |
| 1.12 | Is equality monitoring in place for this policy? | No |

Part Two: The purpose of the following exercise is to assess the potential relevance of the policy in the lives of staff and/or residents who have one or more of the following "Protected Characteristics":

Age, Disability, Gender Reassignment, Marriage/Civil Partnership, Pregnancy/maternity, Race, Religion/Belief, Sex and Sexual Orientation.

The questions in this section ask you to consider factors you will need to take into account in assessing the relevance of the policy in the lives of people who have one or more of the Protected Characteristics. The answers you provide will help you determine whether you will need to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment.

| | | Yes | No | Details and comments |
|-----|---|-----|----|--|
| 2.1 | Could this policy have a significant impact on service delivery or other aspects of daily life for people because they have one or more of the Protected Characteristics listed above? | | x | |
| 2.2 | Does the policy involve a significant commitment, or reduction, of resources? | х | | Capital investment in school accommodation and revenue support for growing schools |
| 2.3 | Does the policy relate to an area where inequalities are already known to exist? | | x | |

2.4 Is there any evidence of potential or actual unplanned variations in the participation levels or use of the policy between different groups (Existing policies only)?

| Characteristic | Yes | No | Details, including what information you have based your answer on |
|----------------------------|-----|----|---|
| Age | | Х | |
| Disability | | x | |
| Gender reassignment | | x | |
| Marriage/Civil Partnership | | x | |
| Pregnancy/maternity | | х | |
| Race | | х | |
| Religion or belief | | х | |
| Sexual orientation | | x | |
| Sex | | x | |

If the answer to question 2.3 is "yes" or "could be yes" then you **must complete an EIA**.

For existing policies, if the answer to question 2.4 is "yes" or "could be yes" then you **must complete an EIA**.

If the answer to questions 2.1 or 2.2 is "yes" or "could be yes" then you may need to complete an EIA. Please refer to Section 3 of the EIA Guidance document for further clarification on when an EIA should be completed.

2.5 Based on the factors above, is an Equality Impact Assessment required for this policy?

| Yes | |
|-----|---|
| No | х |

An EIA is not always needed. Where you have decided that an assessment is not required please clearly summarise the reasons for your decision, including any factors you have taken into account, in the box below. Please then ensure this screening form is signed-off by your line manager and sent to the Corporate Equality and Diversity Team for publication.

EIA not required: reasons and additional comments

There will be an overall increase in the number of places available, which will benefit children regardless of whether they have any of the protected characteristics. Schools recommended for expansion are all currently rated good or outstanding by Ofsted so the additional places will allow more children in these areas of population growth to attend high quality settings.

Signed (completing Officer/Manager): ...Sarah Smith.....

Date: 30 December 2014.....

Signed (Line Manager Alisan Cartionight.

Date: ...30 December 2014.